

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,568	10/14/2009	Michael Tesar	047744-0125	8662
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 01/11/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			GUSSOW, ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/588,568	TESAR ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ANNE M. GUSSOW	1643			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

WHIO - Exte	FORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a).					
- If No - Faile Any		ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 85-141 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🛛	Claim(s) <u>85-141</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	·_ ·_	ve been received				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	1.77				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(5)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) I Notice of Informat Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,568

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

To have a general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1, the inventions need to be linked by a special technical feature. The special technical feature recited in claim 138 is a method of isolating a human or humanized antibody or functional fragment thereof comprising an antigen binding region which specifically binds to a conformational epitope of CD38. In view of this Logtenberg, et al. (WO 2002/06347, published January 24, 2002, as cited on the IDS filed December 1, 2006) reads on the claim. Logtenberg, et al. teach a method of producing a human scFv antibody fragment that binds to CD38 by contacting a synthetic library of human scFv antibody fragments expressed on phage particles with malignant plasma cells from patients with multiple myeloma. Therefore the technical feature recited in claim 138 is not special.

Accordingly the groups are not so linked at to form a single general concept under rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 85-117, 126, 127, 140, and 141, drawn to a human antibody or antigen binding fragment that binds to CD38.

Group II, claim(s) 118-122, drawn to a method of treating a CD38 associated disease.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,568 Page 3

Art Unit: 1643

Group III, claim(s) 123-125, drawn to a CD38 epitope.

Group IV, claim(s) 128-134, drawn to a nucleic acid, vector, and host cell.

Group V, claim(s) 135, drawn to a method of targeting CD38 cells.

Group VI, claim(s) 136-139, drawn to a method of isolating an antibody.

- 2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As set forth above in view of the teachings of Logtenberg, et al. the groups are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because the technical feature of claim 138 is not special. Group II requires treatment of a disease which is not required for Groups I, or III-VI. Group III requires a CD38 epitope which is not required for Groups I, II, or IV-VI. Group V requires a nucleic acid which is not required for Groups I-III, V, or VI. Group V requires targeting CD38 cells which is not required for Groups I-V or VI. Group VI requires isolating an antibody which is not required for Groups I-V.
- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1643

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof.

Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case.

Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are

Application/Control Number: 10/588,568

Art Unit: 1643

subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNE M. GUSSOW whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1643

(571)272-6047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Misook Yu can be reached on (571) 272-0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anne M. Gussow January 6, 2011

/Anne M. Gussow/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643